Application No. Applicant(s) 09/886,533 HA ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Chuck O. Kendall 2192 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Chuck O. Kendall. (2) Eric Nelson 43,829. Date of Interview: 27 October 2005. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)□ No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: . . . Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. g N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney will discuss with his client the possibility of including in the independent claims that the abstract byte code is both a hardware abstract byte code and a software abstract byte code. Based upon the proposed amendment, Examiner will reconsider Attorneys amendment with regards to overcoming the previously cited art and perform and updated search.. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required